

Fuzzy Set Theory \subseteq Probability Theory?

A Comment on 'Membership Functions and Probability Measures of Fuzzy Sets'.

Gunter Maris

Fuzzy Set Theory \subseteq Probability Theory?

A Comment on 'Membership Functions and Probability Measures of Fuzzy Sets'.

Gunter Maris

Cito
Arnhem, 2005

Cito groep

Postbus 1034 6801 MG Arnhem

Kenniscentrum

8501 005 7597



This manuscript has been submitted for publication. No part of this manuscript may be copied or reproduced without permission.

Abstract

From both a theoretical and practical perspective, the integration of fuzzy set theory and probability theory is of particular importance. Both fuzzy set theory and probability theory come with their own formalism for dealing with uncertainty and the question naturally arises whether, and to what extent, these formalisms are different. In this paper we show that formally fuzzy set theory can be formulated in terms of probability theory. This integration is practically significant as it makes results from one world available to researchers in the other world.

Key words: Membership functions; Fuzzy set theory.

1. Introduction

Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) attempt to make *fuzzy set theory* and *probability theory* work in concert. The reason for making such an attempt is the alleged incompatibility of fuzzy set theory and probability theory. In this paper we show that fuzzy set theory can be formulated in terms of probability theory. Specifically, we define the membership function $m_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ for the fuzzy set \tilde{A} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{\tilde{A}}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) \\ &= \int_{A(x)} f(y | X = x) dy \quad \text{with} \quad A(x) = \{y : (x, y) \in A\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

It is important to observe that A is a *crisp* set and that x may either be interpreted as a value of the parameter X or as the realization of the random variable X . In general, we use \tilde{A} to denote the fuzzy set corresponding to the crisp set A .

In Section 1 we show that every membership function can be written in this form and that the algebra of membership functions supports a probabilistic interpretation. In Section 2 we show that both the probability measures of Zadeh (1968) and Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) are, distinct, valid probability measures.

According to our definition of the membership function in (1), the membership function can be formulated in terms of probability theory. We see that if we were to observe both x and y it would be possible to classify with respect to the crisp set A . That is, fuzzy sets are the result of a *missing data* situation. The distribution of Y conditionally on $X = x$ characterizes the inference about the missing data y on the basis of the observed data x . Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) reserve a key role for the *Laplacian genie*. In our conception of the membership function (1), the Laplacean genie is *he who knows y*, and hence is able to classify with precision according to the crisp set A .

2. The algebra of membership functions

Zadeh (1965) introduced the following fuzzy set operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{\bar{A}^c}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 1 - m_{\bar{A}}(x) \\
m_{\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max(m_{\bar{A}}(x), m_{\bar{B}}(x)) \\
m_{\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min(m_{\bar{A}}(x), m_{\bar{B}}(x)) \\
m_{\bar{A} \bullet \bar{B}}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_{\bar{A}}(x) m_{\bar{B}}(x) \\
m_{\bar{A} + \bar{B}}(x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m_{\bar{A}}(x) + m_{\bar{B}}(x) - m_{\bar{A}}(x) m_{\bar{B}}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

We show that these fuzzy set operations logically follow from the conception of the membership function as a (conditional) probability. Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) observe that they are unable to interpret the product (\bullet) and sum ($+$) of fuzzy sets. It will become clear in the sequel that the interpretation of the product and sum pose no special difficulties from our point of view.

Let y, z, y^c , and z^c be scalar valued variables, and define $A(x)$ and $B(x)$, as well as $A^c(x)$ and $B^c(x)$, as follows, for all x :

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x) &= \{y : y \leq m_{\bar{A}}(x)\} \\
B(x) &= \{z : z \leq m_{\bar{B}}(x)\} \\
A^c(x) &= \{y^c : y^c \leq m_{\bar{A}^c}(x)\} \\
B^c(x) &= \{z^c : z^c \leq m_{\bar{B}^c}(x)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Furthermore, assume that

$$(Y|X = x) \sim (Z|X = x) \sim (Y^c|X = x) \sim (Z^c|X = x) \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1) \quad . \tag{3}$$

Notice that y^c is not necessarily some form of complement, such as $1 - y$, of y . In the following we discuss their relation in more detail. Under these conditions, it holds

that

$$m_{\bar{A}}(x) = P(Y \in A(x)|X = x) = P((X, Y) \in A|X = x)$$

$$m_{\bar{B}}(x) = P(Z \in B(x)|X = x) = P((X, Z) \in B|X = x)$$

$$m_{\bar{A}^c}(x) = P(Y^c \in A^c(x)|X = x) = P((X, Y^c) \in A^c|X = x) = 1 - m_{\bar{A}}(x)$$

$$m_{\bar{B}^c}(x) = P(Z^c \in B^c(x)|X = x) = P((X, Z^c) \in B^c|X = x) = 1 - m_{\bar{B}}(x)$$

The union (or) and intersection (and) operations satisfy the following relationship:

$$\begin{aligned} P((X, Y) \in A|X = x) + P((X, Z) \in B|X = x) \\ = P((X, Y) \in A \text{ and } (X, Z) \in B|X = x) \\ + P((X, Y) \in A \text{ or } (X, Z) \in B|X = x) \end{aligned}$$

which can be expressed in the notation of fuzzy set theory as follows:

$$m_{\bar{A}}(x) + m_{\bar{B}}(x) = m_{\bar{A} \text{ and } \bar{B}}(x) + m_{\bar{A} \text{ or } \bar{B}}(x)$$

It is readily observed that this relationship is satisfied both by the pair (\cap, \cup) and by the pair $(\bullet, +)$. That is, both $+$ and \cup are valid union operators, and both \bullet and \cap are valid intersection operators. To us, this reflects that fuzzy set theory is not conceptually rich enough to uniquely define its concepts. It will become clear that this lack of uniqueness is the reason why the union and sum, and for that matter, the intersection and product operators of Zadeh (1965) are difficult to interpret.

From our point of view, the problem with defining the union and intersection is that we have considerable freedom in specifying the joint distribution for Y and Z conditionally on $X = x$ subject to the constraint in (3). It is well known that the joint distribution of Z and Y conditionally on $X = x$, obeys the following

constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max(0, P(Y \leq y|X = x) + P(Z \leq z|X = x) - 1) \\ & \leq P(Y \leq y, Z \leq z|X = x) \leq \\ & \min(P(Y \leq y|X = x), P(Z \leq z|X = x)) \end{aligned}$$

where the lower and upper boundary distributions are the Fréchet distributions corresponding to maximal negative and positive dependence, respectively. In the present setting maximal negative dependence corresponds to setting Y equal to $1 - Z$, whereas maximal positive dependence corresponds to setting Y equal to Z . If we let Y be equal to Z we obtain the intersection operator \cap of Zadeh (1965) for the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} & P((X, Y) \in A \text{ and } (X, Z) \in B|X = x) \\ & = \min(P((X, Y) \in A|X = x), P((X, Z) \in B|X = x)) \end{aligned}$$

and the union operator \cup for the union:

$$\begin{aligned} & P((X, Y) \in A \text{ or } (X, Z) \in B|X = x) \\ & = \max(P((X, Y) \in A|X = x), P((X, Z) \in B|X = x)) \end{aligned}$$

If we let Y and Z be independent we obtain the product operator \bullet of Zadeh (1965) for the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} & P((X, Y) \in A \text{ and } (X, Z) \in B|X = x) \\ & = P((X, Y) \in A|X = x)P((X, Z) \in B|X = x) \end{aligned}$$

and the sum operator $+$ for the union:

$$\begin{aligned} P((X, Y) \in A \text{ or } (X, Z) \in B | X = x) \\ = P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) + P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) \\ - P((X, Y) \in A | X = x)P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we let Y be equal to $1 - Z$ we obtain the bounded difference \oslash for the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} P((X, Y) \in A \text{ and } (X, Z) \in B | X = x) \\ = \max(0, P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) - (1 - P((X, Z) \in B | X = x))) \end{aligned}$$

and the bounded sum \uplus for the union

$$\begin{aligned} P((X, Y) \in A \text{ or } (X, Z) \in B | X = x) \\ = \min(1, P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) + P((X, Z) \in B | X = x)) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the same reasoning applies when A^c is considered rather than B . For instance, with Y equal to Y^c the intersection is not empty but can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P((X, Y) \in A \text{ and } (X, Y^c) \in A^c | X = x) \\ = \min(P((X, Y) \in A | X = x), P((X, Y^c) \in A^c | X = x)) \\ = \min(P((X, Y) \in A | X = x), 1 - P((X, Y) \in A | X = x)) \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we have shown that for any pair of membership functions we specify crisp sets A , B , A^c , and B^c , and a distribution for Y , Z , Y^c , and Z^c conditionally on $X = x$ such that the normal algebra of fuzzy sets holds. We have also shown that the sum and product operators of Zadeh (1965) are nothing but the union and intersection operators for a particular joint distribution for Y and Z

conditionally on $X = x$.

3. Probability Measures Associated with Fuzzy Sets

Zadeh (1968) proposed the following probability measure for a fuzzy set \tilde{A}

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(\tilde{A}) &= \mathcal{E}(m_{\tilde{A}}(X)) \\ &= \int P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) P(X = x) dx \\ &= P((X, Y) \in A)\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

It is seen that from our point of view $\Pi(\tilde{A})$ is nothing but the probability measure associated with the crisp set A . Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) claim that $\Pi(\tilde{A})$ is *not* a valid probability measure. However, their objections stem from the lack of conceptual power of fuzzy set theory alluded to in the previous section. Specifically, they claim that the fact that $\Pi(\tilde{A})$ together with $\Pi(\tilde{B})$ are sufficient to determine $\Pi(\tilde{A} \cap \tilde{B})$ contradicts probability theory. However under maximum positive or negative dependence as well as under independence the marginals uniquely determine the joint distribution. Furthermore, they claim that $\Pi(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B})$ has no associated probability theory. We have found however that the sum operator has an associated probability theory, and hence so does $\Pi(\tilde{A} + \tilde{B})$. Notice that because the intersection operator is not uniquely defined, the same holds for conditional probability notion of independence.

Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) propose another probability measure for a fuzzy set \tilde{A} . Adopting our notation and viewpoint, their measure can be written

a slightly more general form as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P((X, Y) \in A | (X, Z) \in B) \\
&= \sum_x P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) P(X = x | (X, Z) \in B) \\
&= \sum_x P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) \frac{P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) P(X = x)}{\sum_x P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) P(X = x)} \\
&= \frac{\sum_x P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) P(X = x)}{\sum_x P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) P(X = x)} \\
&\propto \sum_x P((X, Y) \in A | X = x) P((X, Z) \in B | X = x) P(X = x)
\end{aligned}$$

It is important to observe that in this derivation we tacitly assumed that Y and Z are independent conditionally on $X = x$. Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) consider the situation where $A = B$ and interpret it as the probability of the fuzzy set \tilde{A} after having been supplied with the membership function $m_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ of an expert.

The only relevant difference between the probability measures proposed by Zadeh (1968) and Singpurwalla and Booker (2004) is that the former considers the marginal probability of the set $(X, Y) \in A$, whereas the latter consider this probability conditionally on the event $(X, Z) \in B$ under the additional assumption that Y and Z are independent conditionally on $X = x$.

From the viewpoint developed in this paper we can also address other probability measures. For instance, given my membership function for the fuzzy set \tilde{B} we get the updated fuzzy set probability measure for the set \tilde{A} :

$$\begin{aligned}
& P((X, Y) \in A | (X, Y) \in B) \\
&= \sum_x \min \left(\frac{P((X, Y) \in A | X = x)}{P((X, Y) \in B | X = x)}, 1 \right) P(X = x | (X, Y) \in B)
\end{aligned}$$

In writing the last equation we have assumed that *the same* Y is used both for classifying in the set B as for classifying in the set A .

4. Discussion

We have shown that fuzzy set theory can be formulated in terms of probability theory. Here we put our formal results in perspective. It is important to observe that probability theory is treated from a purely formal point of view as part of mathematical measure theory. That is, the usual parlance of betting and random experiments is not necessarily invoked by probability theory. The question we tried to address in this paper is whether fuzzy set theory is a different formal system than probability theory. We found that, in fact, the answer to this question is no.

References

- Singpurwalla, N. D., & Booker, J. M. (2004). Membership functions and probability measures of fuzzy sets (with discussion). *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 99(467), 867-889.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1968). Probability measures of fuzzy events. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 23, 421-427.

the 1990s, the number of people with a diagnosis of schizophrenia has increased in the United Kingdom (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This has led to a growing reliance on the use of drugs to manage the condition.

There is a growing awareness of the need to develop a range of non-pharmaceutical approaches to the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This has led to a growing interest in the use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). CAM is a term used to describe a range of health care practices that are not part of conventional medicine (Meltzer and Peck 1998). CAM includes a wide range of practices, including acupuncture, yoga, meditation, and herbal medicine (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

There is a growing body of research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This research has shown that CAM can be effective in the management of a range of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia (Meltzer and Peck 1998). However, there is a need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

One of the main reasons for the need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems is the lack of evidence on the effectiveness of CAM (Meltzer and Peck 1998). There is a need for more research on the effectiveness of CAM in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This research should include studies on the effectiveness of CAM in the management of a range of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

Another reason for the need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems is the lack of evidence on the safety of CAM (Meltzer and Peck 1998). There is a need for more research on the safety of CAM in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This research should include studies on the safety of CAM in the management of a range of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

There is also a need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems because of the growing reliance on the use of drugs to manage the condition (Meltzer and Peck 1998). There is a need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems because of the growing reliance on the use of drugs to manage the condition (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This research should include studies on the use of CAM in the management of a range of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

In conclusion, there is a growing awareness of the need to develop a range of non-pharmaceutical approaches to the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). This has led to a growing interest in the use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998). There is a need for more research on the use of CAM in the management of mental health problems (Meltzer and Peck 1998).

1. The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions and activities. It emphasizes that proper record-keeping is essential for transparency and accountability, particularly in financial matters. The text outlines various methods for organizing and storing records, including digital databases and physical filing systems. It also mentions the need for regular audits and reviews to ensure the integrity of the data.

2. The second part of the document focuses on the role of communication in achieving organizational goals. It highlights the importance of clear and concise communication, both internally and externally. The text provides guidelines for effective communication, such as using appropriate language, listening actively, and providing feedback. It also discusses the benefits of open communication, including improved collaboration and decision-making.

3. The third part of the document addresses the issue of risk management. It defines risk as the potential for loss or damage and explains how to identify, assess, and mitigate risks. The text provides a framework for risk management, including the identification of risks, the assessment of their likelihood and impact, and the implementation of control measures. It also discusses the importance of monitoring and reviewing risks over time.

4. The fourth part of the document discusses the importance of training and development. It emphasizes that ongoing training and development are essential for maintaining a skilled and motivated workforce. The text outlines various training and development methods, including classroom-based training, on-the-job training, and self-directed learning. It also discusses the importance of setting learning objectives and evaluating the effectiveness of training programs.

5. The fifth part of the document discusses the importance of innovation and creativity. It emphasizes that innovation and creativity are essential for staying competitive in a rapidly changing market. The text provides guidelines for fostering innovation and creativity, such as encouraging open communication, providing resources for experimentation, and rewarding creative ideas. It also discusses the importance of protecting intellectual property and managing innovation risks.

6. The sixth part of the document discusses the importance of sustainability. It defines sustainability as the ability to meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. The text outlines various sustainability initiatives, including environmental protection, social responsibility, and economic development. It also discusses the importance of measuring and reporting on sustainability performance.

7. The seventh part of the document discusses the importance of ethics and governance. It emphasizes that ethics and governance are essential for building trust and credibility. The text provides guidelines for ethical behavior, such as being honest, transparent, and accountable. It also discusses the importance of establishing a strong governance structure and implementing effective controls.

8. The eighth part of the document discusses the importance of customer service. It emphasizes that excellent customer service is essential for retaining customers and attracting new ones. The text provides guidelines for providing excellent customer service, such as listening to customer feedback, resolving complaints quickly, and going above and beyond to meet customer needs. It also discusses the importance of training customer service staff and monitoring customer satisfaction.

9. The ninth part of the document discusses the importance of financial management. It emphasizes that sound financial management is essential for the long-term success of an organization. The text outlines various financial management practices, including budgeting, forecasting, and financial reporting. It also discusses the importance of maintaining accurate financial records and seeking professional advice when needed.

10. The tenth part of the document discusses the importance of strategic planning. It emphasizes that strategic planning is essential for setting clear goals and determining the best way to achieve them. The text provides guidelines for developing a strategic plan, such as identifying the organization's mission and vision, assessing its strengths and weaknesses, and setting specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) goals. It also discusses the importance of reviewing and updating the strategic plan regularly.